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(6:00)

Scripture Reading : John 7:25 - 7:37

(8:30)

We still have the campaign for Constitutional Sheriffs going on

We’d like to buy booklets about 100,000 of them

There’s going to be an overhaul of that book

There is a copy that people can get up online at our website NationalLibertyAlliance.org

It’s on the front page

You can download a PDF copy of that handbook

We do want to make it into pocket handbooks

We’d like to send 20 to each one of the sheriffs across the nation

There’s 3100 plus counties

There’s over 3,000 sheriffs

We want to get at least twenty in each one of their hands

For those who will ask for more we want to sent them out too

It will cost about thirty five cents a piece

100,000 is $35,000

Mailing to about 3100 sheriffs it’s about twenty ounces for twenty handbooks maybe a little more

About $6.50 for each mailing to box it and mail it

That’s another $20,000 for a total of about $55,000

If anybody would like to contribute to that

(10:00)

We also we got an answer on the Safe Act they threw us out again under Rule 12

We’re going to have a meeting for New Yorkers those involved in the case come together

Wednesday night at 7:00

Jan posted the material at the website under the Second Amendment page

John would like to appeal

That would cost money

About 45 people are involved with that case

We need about $1,000 to go forward

It’s $505 to file

About a thousand bucks is what we would need to file the appeal

The case will continue

It will become part of the other case that we’re writing which is the lawsuit against the judiciary

It’s coming along We’re still writing

We’re also working on the book

We’d like to get the course up

The response from the New York Safe Act said that there were only 33 plaintiffs

We have e-mails from 45 people that signed up

I’m not sure where the discrepancy comes from

When we first filed it we had about thirty some people

More people signed on

Regarding the book maybe next week we will have the introduction and possibly three chapters up online and then open up that course

There is going to be about 14 chapters

The case for the judiciary is being written up at the same time

Going back to the New York Safe Act we have 21 days to file an appeal

These people require payment real quick

We shouldn’t be giving them anything

That’s all evidence that they’re selling justice

We still need some volunteers

Everyone should volunteer one hour a week or more

We got over 7,000 members now

If all our members gave us one hour a month that would be 7,000 hours

We need help

We need people to make phone calls to welcome people into our membership and walk them through some things that they may want to think about

We need help with the Welcoming Committee

We also need assistance getting Gerard and John onto radio programs and TV programs for interviews

We went onto Thomas’s radio program last weekend

We picked up a dozen or twenty new members

We need to get out more and more We need to get on radio programs and TV programs

We need to get the message out

We’ve been on about twenty programs before

We need to get back on to some of those programs

We need to get our message back on point

We could build our membership

We need to get to critical mass

The case that we’re writing is the one that we’ve been waiting to get to for many years now

Trump is starting to drain the swamp pretty good

A lot of big things will be happening soon

We need critical mass

We need people to understand how important it is to have a proper education

The most important things to know will be in the course and in the book

Hopefully we will open up the course and get that going

Hopefully somewhere down the line we will get the book published

There are 57 USC Titles

27 are positive law 27 are not

The 27 that are not are regulations

It’s how they run agencies

Today I want to look at USC 18

I want to read some excerpts it’s going to be inside the book

I am going to start using the word natural law more often than common law

They’re synonymous

I mostly use the word common law because the Supreme Court cases use the word common law

They don’t use the word natural law too often

This is part of the game that they play

Lawyers talk about common law being a collectiveness of federal court decisions including the United States Supreme Court decisions

I may start using the word natural law more often

I may say common law known as natural law or natural law also known as common law

I’m going to read something that I wrote that ‘s in the book It focuses in on USC 18

First I’m going to read a little bit about liberty and freedom which is freedom from all positive law

Let me read a piece of that first

“In our republic common law is the law of the land by which We the People chose to be judged when we assumed among the powers of the earth, the separate and equal station to which the Laws of Nature and of Nature's God entitle [us] them,’ We the People further declared that, ‘We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness.--That to secure these rights Governments are instituted among Men, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed,’ obedience to the Constitution, is the extent of that consent and no judge and no congress can alter that which We the People ordained, to alter is high treason.”

“Because People are both ignorant and deceived as to what law is and it’s purpose they are flabbergasted to hear that liberty is freedom from all positive law Positive law is law created by man by humans Some will say we need law in order to live in society and thereby they ignorantly accept punitive law That’s USC Title 18 People respond to that and they accept that They blindly accept it So they ignorantly accept punitive law.

When the fact of the matter is people are social by nature and man by nature acknowledges nature’s laws and usually behaves in public Therefore people do not need legislation to control their behavior We already know Thou shalt not steal Thou shalt not murder Thou shalt not injure another Simply put Do no harm That’s the law of God

We all know It’s written in the hearts of all men That’s the key Do no harm

These are the laws of Nature’s God and the purpose of common law also known as courts of justice when they injure another It is here where people are judged by their peers and not bureaucrats People do not need bureaucrats involved in the control of their behavior.

A court of justice is controlled by the people. The people decide through the juries

untainted uncontrolled not bound down by any statute or anything

They look at the facts They make a decision

Was there an injured party? How can we fix it? If we can’t fix it then let’s send it in to the jury to decide

That’s the process of common law

This is the people’s business It’s not the judge’s business It’s not the bureaucrat’s business It’s not the legislator’s business It’s nobody’s business but We the People We judge ourselves That’s Nature’s Law That’s a common law court

When a judge sits in on a common law court he’s called the magistrate whether he’s a judge or a magistrate or both. All judges are magistrates All judges have the ability to sit on a common law court

But their sole purpose is to keep the traffic moving

and sign off the papers put on the seal and put on paper the will of the people

That’s it.

His job doesn’t go any further than that

Equity court is when a judge sits in and we accept his or her decision on the matter

Usually contract disagreements

Never ever a criminal act If you’ve been injured maliciously accidentally wouldn’t be criminal then a judge can’t rule on that

The people can rule on that

People need to understand that because we don’t

We allow these people to judge over us

Judges can rule on a case if we permit them that’s called courts of equity

There’s courts of law and courts of equity

There’s 57 USC Titles There are 57 different jurisdictions 27 of them are law They are enacted law positive law A judge can sit and rule on those cases

You need an individual that understands the statutes of that particular jurisdiction and to apply them correctly

The problem is that they all seem to be corrupt

They all seem to be pressing towards the status quo

We already know Thou shalt not steal Thou shalt not murder Thou shalt not injure another Simply put Do no harm These are the law of Nature’s God and the purpose of common law courts also known as courts of justice when they injure another

It is here where people are judged by their peers and not bureaucrats

People do not need a bureaucrat involved in the control of their behavior

Liberty the most misunderstood concept demands that we do not permit the state to legislate our behavior Freedom from man’s laws and restrictions is an unalienable right which cannot be sold or transferred

Civil law and criminal law is the decree by the will of man as an embodiment of the state and as a result makes us a subject of the state not a subject or child of God

Eventually it always becomes money making exploits

and always expands to greater abuse

It promotes the privatization and monitorizing of prisons which after all need to be filled

because the whole business becomes a business in the name of justice

And the nature of business is to make more business when in fact it is RICO

And that is why America Inc has more people in prison than any other nation

Courts are not supposed to be about prison

Courts are supposed to be about justice restitution restoring the injured party

To restore the person back to their original state the best we can

That’s the purpose of common law courts or natural courts

That’s the purpose of common law

Our government has turned it around

Every penalty is jail

Everything requires jail time and maybe fines

They take your things and they don’t even give it to the people

They keep it themselves

The government keeps it

They charge you money to get a court open to get a court of justice they charge you for it

(56:21)

QUESTIONS:

Question 1:

This fellow is about to be incarcerated and he wants to know

Do I have the right to maintain control of my court papers when I’m being incarcerated so I can prepare what I intend to file prior to turning myself in for a bench warrant?

If this is true how best can I enforce the right to bring my documents with me to jail?

(57:00)

You do have an unalienable right to access to law courts and so on

You also have an unalienable right if it’s criminal you have an unalienable right of counsel

Counsel doesn’t mean that you need someone to speak for you in court

They can speak for you if you can’t articulate your case well

We the People need to become educated in this

The course that we are putting together will solve these issues and people will understand and have the necessary tools which is knowledge education the necessary tools in order to represent themselves to go in and deal with their own issues

One of the problems is that we have to get rid of the abuse

And that’s what this court case is all about that we will be filing up here in Albany hopefully soon

We’re still writing it There’s a lot going into this

Once we take control of the courts and give it back to the people we won’t have these problems

and won’t have to worry about going to jail because going to court isn’t about going to jail

It’s about getting justice

Justice is not putting someone in a box That’s not what justice is

For every injury there must be a remedy

Making a remedy for the person that was injured that is what justice is about

Read Brent Winters book “The Excellence of the Common Law” That explains a lot of this stuff . The way it was The way it should be

Government should not be in control of this

The second part of Question 1: One such document would be a Writ of Habeas Corpus demanding John interrupted to go back to the paperwork thing

They’re not going to let you have paper in there

They’re not going to let you have a computer

(1:05:20)

This book that I’m writing You can have a book in prison

This book will have everything that you need to fight a case in court

There’s going to be all kinds of stuff in there

First order of business is what’s the jurisdiction here

Challenge the jurisdiction

They don’t have jurisdiction over you

USC 18 they don’t have jurisdiction That’s where the penal law is

Challenge the jurisdiction They got to prove it

That’s where your battle is

You’re not going to be able to bring things in

You should prepare everything before you go in

Your big argument is two arguments jurisdiction personam jurisdiction is the key

Also a violation of your right to due process They cannot give you due process

How can you give me due process if you can’t give me justice?

Due process requires justice

They can’t give that to you

That’s your argument That’s your battle That’s your fight

Out of 57 U S Titles only half of them 27 are positive law They have been enacted

If it hasn’t been enacted then it has nothing to do with us

It’s regulations for the bureaucrats

But even if it has been enacted it’s regulation and law for the bureaucrat

Part two of Question 1: One document would be a Writ of Habeas Corpus demanding to deliver me to a dejure common law court of record

If this is possible where can I verify the details on how I would specify the correct court and guarantee my delivery to it?

(1:13:08)

The problem is that there are no courts of justice

They’ve concealed them

They’re still there They’ve been hidden They have been concealed

That is going to be the endeavor of this court case

They have concealed a court a court of justice

They have subverted the Constitution

They subverted the people

They subverted the United States of America by concealing They concealed

The judge needs to go for twenty years in jail for that for concealing

That’s what our court case is about

To get the minds of the people to understand this

If you want an education read this court case

Whoever is standing against us is a criminal and deserves to be indicted

That’s why we did a preindictment for anyone who stands in the way of this particular court case

And we’re going to let them know right up front

that an indictment has already been decided by anybody who continues to conceal or prevent

justice from going forward

This is a conspiracy It’s treason

Anybody who teaches treason is guilty of treason

The BAR teaches treason

It replaces the law of the land with bad statutes

They’ve created all of this legislation to box us into statutory court and put us in jail and injure us

(1:16:40)

Last part of question 1

Once I’m delivered to a common law court with my prepared papers in hand would I be able to place my written claim for damages into evidence on the record of what would be my case in common law established by writ of habeas corpus?

If you could get into one of those court because they don’t exist at the moment

The buildings are there the personnel are there the magistrates are there the money is there the process is there everything is there but it has been concealed

You’re either going to criminal court or civil court right there you’re not in a court of law.

A court that doesn’t have the power to fine or incarcerate you Yet they do

We the People have to do this collectively We have to get educated

That’s what our court case is about

A habeas corpus there’s a process to go through it

The court has the authority to deny a habeas corpus only if it’s not prima fascia

If it’s prima fascia which means that everything is in order on it’s face he or she has to bring it through they must that’s American Jurisprudence they have to do it

You’re saying I’ve been denied my due process That’s what a habeas corpus is

USC 18 is the law of the city That’s maritime law That’s where the penal code is

USC 18 is the law of the sea

(1:23:40)

Caller 1: Jim New York

Wondering where we are going with this Safe Act I can’t wait for the meeting on Wednesday.

We need the people to get together so that we can throw our dollars together

and be able to go back and appeal this We don’t have much time

If we don’t get the money for the appeal we can write a paper and send it to the judge for reconsideration

Wednesday is the call with all the Safe Act plaintiffs

(1:53:00)

Caller 2: Constance from Connecticut

I’m not calling for myself I’m calling for three of my friends

They’re all being manipulated and monopolized by the court system

They all need to get into federal court because they can’t get any justice

One you are familiar with

You spoke with Benjamin the past couple weeks

They have a temporary guardianship on his mother and they’re trying to get one on him

It was initiated by his brother and his cousin

He needs to get it into federal court

An appeal is a possibility You might be able to get some satisfaction there

But now you are going to be arguing the merits of the case

The problem is that jurisdiction is what really should be argued

The fact that they don’t have jurisdiction

They don’t have proof of claim They don’t have fiduciary authority

They don’t have jurisdiction personam jurisdiction

That falls under the federal court because it falls under the Constitution

And then you got Anna Taylor

She had her baby at home with a midwife

She brought her baby to the hospital to make sure that the baby was OK

They said that the baby needed surgery That the baby was being neglected

They took the baby from Anna It’s been 2 ½ years and she’s still trying to get that baby back

In her case she was filing papers in Middletown, Connecticut because her court appointed attorney wouldn’t file them and she wanted to file them

While she was filing them state police and four armed guards jumped on little hundred pound Anna took her to Middlesex Hospital and she ended up in the mental ward

She did get out

The attorney for the guardian which is the father’s sister they said they want a birth certificate and a social security card The judge put her eyes down and said It’s too soon for that

She ordered a competency thing The state police and the guard jumped on her at the courthouse The state of Connecticut’s name is all over it the judge, the police, the court guard They’re all in cahoots Now she’s trying to prove that she’s competent

She’s still trying to get her baby back They’re trying to terminate parental rights

And they want to get the baby a birth certificate and social security card

She has a court notice to go to court and she doesn’t want to show up

The last time there was a sheriff with her hand on her gun in her holster staring Anna down.

Anna was self represented

And then there’s Tanya McCash and she’s been trying to get back her daughter

Actually she’s been trying to get back all three of her children for the past four years

She is being forced to prove her competency

She went to court and Judge Manley in Santa Clara County she moved it to Alameda then she tried to move it into federal she didn’t satisfy both criteria of her federal so they took it back to Alameda but Santa Clara Judge Manley he runs a drug and mental health court

a mental health and family court or something like that

Her’s was a criminal case where her ex-husband got a five year restraining order on her daughter but she wasn’t aware so the next morning she went to the school and the principle chased her off the property

She went to San Jose police They immediately put her in shackles and handcuffs and arrested her for violating a protective order that she wasn’t aware of

Judge Manley asked her Are you going to get treatment?

She goes No

Are you going to take a court appointed attorney

No

Then he said You’re going to jail for six weeks No bail No bond

She is not a citizen of the United States

She’s a citizen of Sylvania in Canada and she’s been here on a green card for 18 years.

They ended up releasing her in six days

They released her on conditions

She has to go pee in a cup every Friday to prove that she is not on drugs

She wasn’t arrested on a drug charge and she has to go pee in a cup every Friday

She has to pay for that

I’m telling you about these cases because these three cases they’re all friends of mine

They all need help

Tanya doesn’t do drugs and yet she’s forced to pee in a cup every Friday

I’m telling you this because Tanya, and Anna, and Benjamin all need to get their cases into federal court

John would like to put something up online that people can use as an example

When you go into a federal court the jurisdiction changes as the conversation proceeds on

John will be getting the course up soon

The course will definitely have inside of it how to move a court case to a federal court for cause

And you’re only doing it to deal with a constitutional issue

Nothing else You’re not discussing the case

You’re going there because your unalienable rights are being violated

You have to get into the right court

You have to stay on point

Be careful to not be moved into another jurisdiction for something that you say or do

One of the biggest hurdles to get into the federal court is that they give you a paper to fill out and it’s a statutory paper that brings you into a statutory court

It’s civil court You’re opening up a civil case

Now if you’re moving the case then you don’t have to fill out the form so you’re bypassing that part

Cause you’re not filling anything out to get an index number or anything

You’re dealing only with the constitutional violation

How they violated you

That’s the only thing you’re going to be talking about

And then what you expect You have to have a good Wherefore Clause at the end

Wherefore they need to cease and desist

You’re not going to argue the case

John will have an example soon

It’s going to be part of the course that we’re creating

Hopefully we will have the Introduction and two chapters and possibly open it next week

I want to get this up and going

That’s not in the beginning chapters

John has no example to give the caller right now

Before I share it with anyone I want to take a good look at it

We’re in the middle of writing a book

We’re writing a course

We’re writing the judicial papers for the judiciary

There’s 21 days to respond to our New York Gun case

John has an IRS case that he’s battling that has to be answered before Christmas

John will try to get a good paper up there soon for people to use

You still have a lot to learn

The course that we’re going to be putting up the Introduction lays out a picture

Chapter One will deal with liberty lost

Chapter Two will deal with the law

He is almost done with that now

John has a lot of this done

He has been writing for years

The Law is the second one That’s a very important chapter

That’s the chapter that you want to read and really understand

Then you got to be able to articulate write it down in a very clear fashion

Tanya tried to move it into federal court They remanded it back to Alameda County because she didn’t satisfy the two criteria

She moved it to Alameda County from Santa Clara and then she was trying to move it into federal court They remanded it back to Alameda

And she went to court in Alameda but Judge Manley has conditions that she can’t leave the county without permission

She is a member of NLA and took the online Constitution Course and she was taking the Civics Course

The Constitution Course that we gave is a very simple course

The Civics Course gives us a lot of History some common law and also lays out how America got to where it’s at

The course that I’m writing now I’m writing this book it will be a handbook

you can write court cases out of it procedure process give examples forms

how to move a case into federal court for cause what does it mean for cause what argument do you make in court when you go in for cause you don’t discuss the case that you’re battling in the other court you’re talking about the violation of your rights and then you got to make sure that you move the court to do the proper thing shut them down cease and desist it collapses their whole case They can’t come out after you any more.

Make it clear on how you are keeping your jurisdiction

There’s all kinds of different bodies of law Each one of them has their own jurisdiction Only 27 of them have been enacted and is called positive law which is enacted law through legislation by human beings

I wouldn’t use the word common law any more I would use the word natural law

Natural law and common law are synonymous

All of this stuff takes time

John is working day and night and night and day

Get ahold of Jan

We’re going to be meeting up with a couple of paralegals soon

Get ahold of Jan you can find him under the directory

John’s focus right now is to get two chapters and an introduction get that up get this course open

Chapter 2 is on the law and that’s important

(2:25:00)

Caller 3: Joe from California

Joe was the person who sent in the multipart question

He has a bench warrant

It’s a state court It’s a criminal court

They dropped most of the charges and then they opened up another case

I was pulled over for supposedly not stopping at a stop sign

Which I did actually stop at the stop sign

I had been doing enough research to understand that I did not necessarily need a license

So I attempted to ask the question to clarify what I had been reading

They got frustrated with that

Eventually they broke my window out and drug me out of the car because I wouldn’t consent

They arrested me and took all of my stuff

Ten days later I eventually bailed out

They were trying to steal my car and auction it off

It’s a small town in South Dakota

They charged him with possession

Almost everything came back negative

After arresting me and breaking out my window and taking all my money

everything they did they didn’t have anything to justify it

They dropped it from ten years to six weeks.

And then when I got into it with the lawyer on how he’s not doing his job he officially dropped me as a client

When I came back to court I was running a little bit late

I called them at 8:00 in the morning when the courthouse opened and I had to be there at 9:00 to let them know that I would be a couple of hours late but I’d still be plenty on time

They pulled me over twice So I have the tickets and everything I still made it there by 2:30 and they were done seeing everybody and they wouldn’t let me into court

She said that there was already a bench warrant already issued for you that goes into effect in the morning

She wouldn’t let me talk to the judge

She wouldn’t let me get into the court

John decided to write a book about the things that every common person should know

There are fundamental things and points and positions that people need to have

John wants to bring it into a book and say these are the things the absolute requirements that everyone must know

Here’s the tools to be able to do everything that you need to do in a court to deal with your problems

How to get into them How to write the papers and forms

You need that basic structure in order to fight this problem

Caller is carefully constructing his documents

He has an affidavit of status and a declaration of rights

You’re defending yourself You shouldn’t be defending yourself

They should be defending themselves

You want to know what jurisdiction this court is By what authority do you act?

You can move the court to dismiss for lack of personam jurisdiction

But you have to understand what that jurisdiction is and be able to defend that

If they’re applying statutes on you then you’re in the wrong court

If they’re applying statutes on you then it’s not a court of nature

You’re looking for a common law court a court of record

There’s only two ways that you can win

You can find a court of record walk in tell your story and you’re done

Because a court of record a court that is going to apply nature’s law on the court you’re going to get through and you’ll win that with an affidavit

The other way you come in there understand the law enough just enough to understand what these laws are what authority they have what jurisdiction they have and be able to put things together in such a way to put the judge in a box

The other solution is to open up courts of justice again

That’s what we at National Liberty Alliance are fighting for

to get courts of justice to open in every county across America

Until we can get that done we are not a free people any more

That’s the battle

You’re not going to find a court of record It’s not there

You got to get the right judge

Move it into federal court

You’re making an issue of jurisdiction in violation of your right of due process

That’s your battle

Lay the facts out

Define the court the jurisdiction